

Hon. Ricardo S. Martinez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

COSMOS GRANITE (WEST), LLC, a  
Washington limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

MINAGREX CORPORATION, d/b/a MGX  
Stone,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:19-cv-01697-RSM

**STIPULATED MOTION TO SEAL  
PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR PARTIAL  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

**Noted on Motion Calendar:  
August 18, 2021**

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5(g), Plaintiff, Cosmos Granite (West), LLC (“Cosmos”) and Defendant, Minagrex Corporation d/b/a/ MGX Stone (“Minagrex”) jointly request that the Court enter an order to Seal/Redact Plaintiff’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (“Plaintiff’s Motion:”). Minagrex understands that Plaintiff’s Motion relies on, references, or quotes Exhibits 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 35, 37, 68, 70, 79, 82, 83, and 88 to the supporting Declaration of Rachel S. Black (“Black Declaration”), and alleges that such Exhibits contain confidential information pursuant to the Protective Order entered in this case. Plaintiff takes no position concerning the confidentiality of the aforementioned exhibits or reference thereto in Plaintiff’s Motion. Plaintiff contends that Plaintiff’s Motion references information from Black Declaration Exhibits 2 and 3, which contain confidential information pursuant to the Protective

1 Order entered in this case. Defendant takes no position concerning the confidentiality of Exhibits 2  
2 and 3 and any references thereto in Plaintiff's Motion.

3 Defendant's position is that the designation of Exhibits 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23, 26, 27, 28,  
4 29, 30, 33, 35, 37, 68, 70, 79, 82, 83, and 88, and references thereto in Plaintiff's Motion, should  
5 be maintained to protect the legitimate private interests of Minagrex and third-parties, to which it  
6 owes an obligation of confidentiality, in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order [Dkt. 16].  
7 Specifically, Minagrex believes that the Exhibits referenced satisfy, individually and collectively,  
8 the requirements set forth in ¶2.2 (a), (c), (d), (e), (f), and ¶2.3 of the Stipulated Protective Order  
9 [Dkt. 16]. Said Exhibits contain confidential sales information, trade secrets, confidential and sales  
10 related communications with third-parties to which Minagrex believes it owes a duty of  
11 confidentiality, agreements with third-parties that are not otherwise, supplier lists, information  
12 related to the ownership or control of a non-public company, and other previously non-disclosed  
13 sales and financial information worthy of the Court's protection as specified in the Stipulated  
14 Protective Order [Dkt. 16].

15 Plaintiff's position is that information in Plaintiff's Motion from Exhibits 2 and 3 should be  
16 filed under seal to protect the legitimate private interests of Plaintiff in accordance with the  
17 Protective Order issued in this case (Dkt. #16). Exhibits 2 and 3 contain confidential proprietary,  
18 and private information that Plaintiff designated as Confidential pursuant to and consistent with the  
19 Protective Order entered in this case, because it contains previously nondisclosed information  
20 relating to the ownership and control of Plaintiff, a non-public company. Plaintiff has a legitimate  
21 business interest in keeping information relating to its ownership and control private, and thus  
22 requires that this document be filed under seal. *See* Dkt. #16 at p. 2 ¶ 2.2(a) (stating that confidential  
23 information includes "previously nondisclosed material relating to ownership or control of any non-  
24 public entity).

25 After review of each referenced Exhibit, ¶4.4 of the Stipulated Protective Order and Local  
26 Rule 5(g), Minagrex and Plaintiff both believe that a less restrictive alternative is not sufficient to  
27

1 protect the confidential information referenced above because redaction of the same would  
2 substantively frustrate the purpose of its admission.

3 Plaintiff is filing publicly a redacted Motion concurrently herewith.

4  
5 Dated: August 18, 2021

By: /s/ Rachel S. Black  
Rachel S. Black, WSBA #32204  
[rblack@susmangodfrey.com](mailto:rblack@susmangodfrey.com)  
Alexander W. Aiken (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
[aaiken@susmangodfrey.com](mailto:aaiken@susmangodfrey.com)  
Benjamin Manne, WSBA # 54537  
[bmanne@susmangodfrey.com](mailto:bmanne@susmangodfrey.com)  
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Phone: (206) 516-3880  
Fax: (206) 516-3883

Chelsea V. Samuels (*pro hac vice* pending)  
[csamuels@susmangodfrey.com](mailto:csamuels@susmangodfrey.com)  
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.  
1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Phone: (310) 789-3100

*Attorneys for Cosmos Granite (West) LLC*

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 Dated: August 18, 2021

By: /s/ Betsy A. Gillaspy  
Betsy A. Gillaspy, WSBA#21340  
[bgillaspy@gillaspyrhode.com](mailto:bgillaspy@gillaspyrhode.com)  
Chelsey Thorne, WSBA #49740  
[cthorne@gillaspyrhode.com](mailto:cthorne@gillaspyrhode.com)  
GILLASPY & RHODE, PLLC  
821 Kirkland Avenue, Suite 200  
Kirkland, WA 98033  
Phone: (425) 646-2956

22  
23 Mark L. Hill (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
Texas State Bar # 24034868  
[mark.hill@solidcounsel.com](mailto:mark.hill@solidcounsel.com)  
Walker Steven Young (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
Texas State Bar # 24102676  
[walker.young@solidcounsel.com](mailto:walker.young@solidcounsel.com)  
SCHEEF & STONE, LLP  
2600 Network Boulevard, Suite 400

1 Frisco, TX 75034  
2 Phone: (214) 472-2126

3 *Attorneys for Minagrex Corporation d/b/a MGX*  
4 *Stone*

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

Counsel for Plaintiff conferred with counsel for Defendant in a telephonic meet and confer on August 18, 2021 (Chelsea Samuels and Walker Young participated), and counsel agreed that, per Minagrex's and Plaintiff's representations concerning the confidentiality of the documents at issue, a Stipulated Motion Seal was proper to protect the confidential information, and that there was no alternative to filing under seal.

/s/ Rachel S. Black

Rachel S. Black

**ORDER**

Based upon the foregoing and for good cause shown, the Court ORDERS that the Stipulated Motion to Seal is GRANTED.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2021.



RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 18, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Rachel S. Black  
Rachel S. Black

Hon. Ricardo S. Martinez